EXHIBIT "A"

Document 1-1 Filed 02/23/22 Page 2 of 27 Electronically Issued

12/22/2021 12:23 PM

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

S	Y	R	ľ	A	Н	REGAINS,	

Plaintiff,

VS.

99 CENTS ONLY STORES, LLC. d/b/a 99 CENTS ONLY, a foreign limited liability company; DOES I-X; and ROE CORPORATIONS I-X, inclusive,

Defendants.

CASE NO.: A-21-845791-C DEPT. NO.:

SUMMONS

99 CENTS ONLY STORES, LLC.

NOTICE! YOU HAVE BEEN SUED. THE COURT MAY DECIDE AGAINST YOU WITHOUT YOUR BEING HEARD UNLESS YOU RESPOND WITHIN 20 DAYS. READ THE INFORMATION BELOW.

TO THE DEFENDANT: A civil Complaint has been filed by the plaintiff against you for the relief set forth in the Complaint.

99 CENTS ONLY STORES, LLC.

- 1) If you intend to defend this lawsuit, within 20 days after this Summons is served on you exclusive of the day of service, you must do the following:
- (1) File with the Clerk of this Court, whose address is shown below, a formal written response to the Complaint in accordance with the rules of the Court.
 - (2) Serve a copy of your response upon the attorney whose name and address is shown below.
- 2) Unless you respond, your default will be entered upon application of the plaintiff and this Court may enter a judgment against you for the relief demanded in the Complaint, which could result in the taking of money or property or other relief requested in the Complaint.
- 3) If you intend to seek the advice of an attorney in this matter, you should do so promptly so that your response may be filed on time.
- 4) The State of Nevada, its political subdivisions, agencies, officers, employees, board members, commission members and legislators each have 45 days after service of this Summons within which to file an Answer or other responsive pleading to the Complaint.

Issue at the direction of:

VA WRENCE RULZ. EST

Nevada Bar No. 11451

1055 Whitney Ranch Drive, Suite 110

Henderson, NV 89014 Telephone: (702) 850.1717 Attorney for Plaintiff CLERK OF COURT

Deputy Clark Date

Regional Justice Center

200 Lewis Ave.

Las Vegas, NV 89155

Demond Palmer

12/23/2021

	1	Electronically Filed	f
ê		12/22/2021 12:23 PM Steven D. Grierson	
Ŧ		CLERK OF THE COURT	
	1	COMP COMP	-
	2	LAWRENCE RUIZ, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 11451	
	3	RUIZ LAW FIRM 1055 Whitney Ranch Drive, Suite 110 CASE NO: A-21-845791	31 €
	4	Henderson, NV 89014	ıt 🎁
	5	Phone: (702) 850.1717 Fax: (702) 850.1716	
	6	lawrence@lmruizlaw.com Attorney for Plaintiff	ł
	7	Altorney for Flainity	
	8	DISTRICT COURT	
	9	•	÷
	10	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA	
10	11	SYRIAH REGAINS,	
// uite 13 5 (fax)	12		
V FIRM Drive, Su V 89014 850.1716	13	Plaintiff, Case No.: Dept. No.:	
LA W FIRM tanch Drive, Sui son, NV 89014 / 702.850.1716	14	VS.	
LA Ranch Sson, 1702	15	99 CENTS ONLY STORES, LLC. d/b/a 99	
RUIZ Vhitney I Hender 150.1717	16	CENTS ONLY, a foreign limited liability company; DOES I-X; and ROE	
. >	17	CORPORATIONS I-X, inclusive,	
1055	18	Defendants.	
	19	COMPLAINT	
	20	Plaintiff, SYRIAH REGAINS, by and through her attorney of record, LAWRENCE RUIZ,	IZ,
	21	ESQ. of the RUIZ LAW FIRM, and for her causes of action against the Defendants, and each of	of
	22		
	23	them, alleges as follows:	
	24	1. At all times relevant to these proceedings, Plaintiff SYRIAH REGAINS,	1S.
	25	("Plaintiff"), is and was a resident of the County of Clark, State of Nevada.	
	26	2. At all times relevant to these proceedings, Defendant 99 CENTS ONLY STORES,	ES,
	27	LLC, d/b/a 99 CENTS ONLY ("Defendant 99"), is and was a foreign limited liability company and	and
	28	authorized to do business in the County of Clark, State of Nevada.	#H
		audiorized to do ousiliess in the County of Clark, State of Nevada.	
		1-	

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

3. That the true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate or otherwise of Defendants named herein as DOES I through X and ROE CORPORATION I through X are unknown to Plaintiff who therefore, sues said Defendants by said fictitious names. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that each of the Defendants designated as DOES and ROE are employees, agents, or other individuals or entities responsible in some manner for the events and happenings referred to, specifically for creating, maintaining and/or allowing hazardous condition to exist on The Defendant premises, improperly hired, trained, retained, and supervised employees, caused damages proximately to Plaintiff as herein alleged. Plaintiff will ask leave of this Court to amend her Complaint to insert the true names and capacities of DOES through X and ROE CORPORATIONS I through X when the same have been ascertained and to join such Defendants in this action. DOES I through X and ROE CORPORATIONS I through X include, but are not limited to, employees, agents, contractors, vendors, and suppliers. At all times mentioned herein, each Defendant was acting as the agent, servant, and employee of each other Defendant. Such DOES and ROE CORPORATIONS include, but are not limited to, the predecessors and successors in interest of Defendants, as well any affiliates.

ALLEGATIONS COMMONS TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION

- 4. Defendants were the record owner of property located at 1325 E. Flamingo Road, Las Vegas, Nevada, (the "Premises").
- 5. Plaintiff was a guest on the Premises and was invited onto the Premises by the Defendants.
- 6. On or about June 6, 2020, Plaintiff was shopping in the produce section on the Defendant's premises.
- 7. At said time and place, an employee, working within the course and scope of their employment with Defendant, placed a metal cart directly behind the Plaintiff.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 8. The metal cart struck the back of Plaintiff's heel/foot, causing her to fall to the ground, striking her head on the handle of the metal cart.
- 9. Defendant carelessly and negligently created, owned, controlled, inspected, and/or maintained the premises in an unstable, dangerous and hazardous condition.
- 10. As the direct and proximate result of Defendant's negligence, Plaintiff suffered injuries, all or some of which conditions may be permanent or disabling in nature, and all or some of which have required, or will require, medical treatment.
- 11. As the direct and proximate result of Defendant's negligence, Plaintiff incurred, and will continue to incur, future medical expenses.
- As the direct and proximate result of Defendant's negligence, Plaintiff endured 12. physical and emotional pain and suffering.
- As the direct and proximate cause of Defendants' negligence, Plaintiff has suffered 13. and continues to suffer a significant deterioration in Plaintiff's enjoyment of life.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Negligence)

- 14. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges every preceding paragraph as if fully set forth herein.
- Defendant owed Plaintiff a duty to maintain the Premises in a reasonably safe 15. condition.
- 16. Defendant owed Plaintiff a duty not to create unreasonable risk of harm to Plaintiff on the Premises.
 - 17. Defendant breached its duty by failing to maintain the Premises in safe condition.
- 18. Defendant's negligence in their failure to maintain the Premises in a reasonable safe condition was the actual and proximate cause of Plaintiff's injuries.
 - 19. Plaintiff has incurred substantial expenses including, but not limited to, medical

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

expenses as the direct and proximate cause of Plaintiff's injuries.

20. Plaintiff has had to retain the services of an attorney to prosecute this action and is entitled to reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit incurred herein.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Negligent Hiring / Training / Supervision / Retention)

- 21. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges every preceding paragraph as if fully set forth herein.
- Defendant had a duty to exercise ordinary and reasonable care in the screening 22. training, supervision, and retention of their employees.
- 23. Defendant is required to ensure their employees are qualified and suitable to perform their duties in a manner so as to protect others from unreasonably risk of harm.
- 24. Defendant is required to provide their employees with the necessary supervision and training.
- 25. Defendant breached their duty by failing to adequately screen, hire, train and/or supervise its employees.
- 26. Defendant's negligence in the hiring, training, supervision, and retention of its employees was the actual and proximate cause of Plaintiff's injuries and damages.
- 27. Plaintiff has been forced to retain the services of an attorney to prosecute this action and is entitled to reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit incurred herein.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Doctrine Of Respondeat Superior)

- Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each paragraph as if fully set forth herein. 28.
- 29. Based upon information and belief, at all relevant times, DOES I through X were the agents and/or employees of Defendant.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

30.	Does 1	through	X	were	in	the	course	and	scope	of	their	employment	with
Defendants.													

- 31. Defendants are vicariously liable to Plaintiff for her injuries by virtue of the doctrine of respondeat superior.
- As the direct and proximate cause of Defendant's negligence, Plaintiff suffered 32. damages.
- 34. Plaintiff has had to retain the services of an attorney to prosecute this action and is entitled to reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit incurred herein.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff expressly reserving the right to amend her Complaint at the time of trial of the actions herein to include all items of damages not yet ascertained, demands judgment against Defendants, and each of them, as follows:

- 1. General damages in an amount in excess of \$15,000;
- 2. Damages for costs of medical care and treatment and costs incidental thereto;
- 3. Reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit incurred herein;
- 4. Pre- and post-judgment interest; and
- 5. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem proper in the premises.

DATED this 19 day of December, 2021.

RUIZ LAW FIRM

vada Bar No. 11451

1055 Whitney Ranch Drive, Suite 110

Henderson, NV 89014 Attorneys for Plaintiff

Page 1 of 6 Case Number: A-21-845791-C

Case 2:22-cv-00334-JCM-VCF Document 1-1 Filed 02/23/22 Page 9 of 27

NEVADA 89119	7 F. 702.380.2
LAS VEGAS, N	T. 702.380.0007
4	

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

64

1	Answering Paragraphs 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8 of Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein
Defendant is	without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity
of the said al	legations and therefore denies same.

- 2. Answering Paragraph 2 of Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein, Defendant admits the allegations contained therein.
- 3. Answering Paragraphs 9, 10, 11, 12 and 13 of Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Negligence)

- 4. Answering Paragraph 14 of Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein, Defendant repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in Paragraphs 1 through 13 as though fully set forth herein.
- 5. Answering Paragraphs 15 and 16 of Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein, Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the said allegations and therefore denies same.
- Answering Paragraphs 17, 18, 19 and 20 of Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein, 6. Defendant denies the allegations contained therein.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Negligent Hiring / Training / Supervision / Retention)

7. Answering Paragraph 21 of Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein, Defendant repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in Paragraphs 1 through 20 as though fully set forth herein.

	8.	Answering Paragraphs 22, 23 and 24 of Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein
Defer	ndant is w	hout sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity
of the	said alleg	ations and therefore denies same.

9. Answering Paragraphs 25, 26 and 27 of Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Doctrine of Respondeat Superior)

- 10. Answering Paragraph 28 of Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein, Defendant repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in Paragraphs 1 through 27 as though fully set forth herein.
- 11. Answering Paragraphs 29, 30 and 31 of Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein, Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the said allegations and therefore denies same.
- 12. Answering Paragraphs 32, (sic 33) and 34 of Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's Complaint fails to state a claim against Defendant upon which relief can be granted.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendant alleges that at the time and place alleged in Plaintiff's Complaint, Plaintiff did not exercise ordinary care, caution or prudence for the protection of herself and any damages complained of by the Plaintiff in her Complaint, were directly or proximately caused or contributed to by the fault, failure to act, carelessness and negligence of Plaintiff.

BRANDON | SMERBER 1.39 E. WARM SPRINGS RD. 1.48 VEGAS, NEVADA 89119 T. 702.380.0007 | F. 702.380.2964

///

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendant alleges that the Plaintiff assumed whatever risk or hazard existed at the time of this incident, if any there were, and was therefore responsible for the alleged damage suffered and further that the Plaintiff was guilty of negligence of her own acts which caused or contributed to by the fault, failure to act, carelessness or negligence of Plaintiff.

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

All the risks and dangers involved in the factual situation described in Plaintiff's Complaint, if any there were, were open, obvious and known to the Plaintiff and by reason thereof, Plaintiff assumed the risks and dangers inherent thereto.

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendant alleges that the negligence of the Plaintiff exceeded that of the Defendant, and that the Plaintiff is thereby barred from recovery.

SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Pursuant to NRCP 11, as amended: All possible affirmative defenses may not have been alleged herein insofar as sufficient facts were not available after reasonable inquiry upon the filing of Defendant's Answer, and therefore, Defendant reserves the right to amend this Answer to allege additional affirmative defenses if subsequent investigation warrants.

SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The damages sustained by Plaintiff, if any, were caused by the acts of third persons who were not agents, servants or employees of this answering Defendant and who were not acting on behalf of this answering Defendant in any manner or form and as such, this Defendant is not liable in any matter to the Plaintiff.

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89119 139 E. WARM SPRINGS RD. BRANDON | SMERBER LAW FIRM

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

I. 702.380.0007 | F. 702.380.2964

EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendant at all times relevant to the allegations contained in Plaintiff's Complaint, acted with due care and circumspection in the performance of any and all duties imposed on it.

NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

That it has been necessary of the Defendant to employ the services of an attorney to defend the action and a reasonable sum should be allowed Defendant for attorney's fees, together with costs of suit incurred herein.

TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff has failed to mitigate her alleged damages, and, to the extent of such failure to mitigate any damages awarded to Plaintiff, should be reduced accordingly.

ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims are barred by applicable statutes of limitations.

TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendant objects as to authentication, foundation and genuineness of all of Plaintiff's medical providers and documents listed or presented by Plaintiff.

WHEREFORE, Defendant, 99 CENTS ONLY STORES, LLC d/b/a 99 CENTS ONLY, prays as follows:

- 1. That Plaintiff take nothing by way of her Complaint on file herein;
- 2. For reasonable attorney's fees and costs of suit incurred herein; and

///

///

///

27

28

139 E. WARM SPRINGS RD.

Page 1 of 2 Case Number: A-21-845791-C

Case 2:22-cv-00334-JCM-VCF Document 1-1 Filed 02/23/22 Page 15 of 27

Case 2:22-cv-00334-JCM-VCF Document 1-1 Filed 02/23/22 Page 17 of 27

CSRE LEW BRANDON, JR., ESQ. Nevada Bar No.: 5880 ANDREW GUZIK, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 12758 HOMERO GONZALEZ, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 15231 BRANDON | SMERBER LAW FIRM 139 East Warm Springs Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 (702) 380-0007 (702) 380-2964 – facsimile l.brandon@bsnv.law a.guzik@bsnv.law h.gonzalez@bsnv.law Attorneys for Defendant, 99 CENTS ONLY STORES, LLC

Electronically Filed 2/14/2022 4:44 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

SYRIAH REGAINS,

d/b/a 99 CENTS ONLY

Plaintiff,

٧.

CASE NO.: A-21-845791-C

DEPT. NO.: 15

99 CENTS ONLY STORES, LLC d/b/a 99 CENTS ONLY, a foreign limited liability company; DOES I-X, and ROE CORPORATIONS I-X, inclusive,

Defendants.

CONSENT TO SERVICE BY ELECTRONIC MEANS THROUGH E-FILING PROGRAM

The undersigned parties hereby consent to service of documents by electronic means through the Court's E-filing program on behalf of the following parties: 99 CENTS ONLY STORES, LLC d/b/a 99 CENTS ONLY.

Documents served by electronic means must be transmitted to the following persons at the e-mail addresses listed: l.brandon@bsnv.law; a.guzik@bsnv.law; and h.gonzalez@bsnv.law.

Case 2:22-cv-00334-JCM-VCF Document 1-1 Filed 02/23/22 Page 19 of 27

1 It is my understanding that the attachments may be transmitted to the program in any 2 format and will be converted to a PDF file before service is effected. 3 The undersigned also acknowledges that this Consent does not require service by 4 electronic means unless the serving party elects to do so. 5 DATED this 14th day of February, 2022. 6 T. 702.380.0007 | F. 702.380.2964 **BRANDON | SMERBER LAW FIRM** 7 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89119 139 E. WARM SPRINGS RD. 8 /s/ Lew Brandon, Jr., Esq. LEW BRANDON, JR., ESQ. 9 Nevada Bar No. 5880 ANDREW GUZIK, ESO. 10 Nevada Bar No. 12758 11 HOMERO GONZALEZ, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 15231 139 East Warm Springs Road BRANDON | SMERBER LAW FIRM Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 13 Attorneys for Defendant, 14 99 CENTS ONLY STORES, LLC d/b/a 99 CENTS ONLY 15 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 16 17 Pursuant to Nev. R. Civ. P. 5(b), I certify that on February 14, 2022, I served a true and 18 correct copy of the foregoing CONSENT TO SERVICE BY ELECTRONIC MEANS 19 THROUGH E-FILING PROGRAM through the Court's ECF electronic filing system, upon 20 the following: 21 LAWRENCE RUIZ, ESQ. 22 Nevada Bar No. 11451 **RUIZ LAW FIRM** 23 1055 Whitney Ranch Drive, Suite 110 24 Henderson, NV 89014 (702) 850-1717 25 Facsimile: (702) 850-1716 lawrence@lmruizlaw.com 26 Attorney for Plaintiff, 27 SYRIAH REGAINS 28 /s/ Bonita Alexander

An Employee of BRANDON | SMERBER LAW FIRM

BRANDON | SMERBER

26

27

28

AS VEGAS, NEVADA 89119 139 E. WARM SPRINGS RD.

25

REQT LEW BRANDON, JR., ESQ. Nevada Bar No.: 5880 ANDREW GUZIK, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 12758 HOMERO GONZALEZ, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 15231 **BRANDON | SMERBER LAW FIRM** 139 East Warm Springs Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 (702) 380-0007 (702) 380-2964 – facsimile l.brandon@bsnv.law a.guzik@bsnv.law h.gonzalez@bsnv.law Attorneys for Defendant, 99 CENTS ONLY STORES, LLC d/b/a 99 CENTS ONLY

Electronically Filed 2/14/2022 4:44 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

SYRIAH REGAINS,

Plaintiff,

CASE NO.: A-21-845791-C DEPT. NO.: 15

.

99 CENTS ONLY STORES, LLC d/b/a 99 CENTS ONLY, a foreign limited liability company; DOES I-X, and ROE CORPORATIONS I-X, inclusive,

Defendants.

NRCP 16.1(a)(1)(C) REQUEST FOR COMPUTATION OF DAMAGES AND DISCLOSURE OF SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS AND NRCP 16.1(a)(1)(A)(iii) REQUEST FOR MEDICAL PROVIDER IDENTITY

Pursuant to NRCP 16.1(a)(1)(C), Defendant, 99 CENTS ONLY STORES, LLC d/b/a 99 CENTS ONLY, hereby requests that Plaintiff, SYRIAH REGAINS, provide within thirty (30) days of this Request, computation of any and all categories of damages claimed by Plaintiff, including making available for inspection and copying as under Rule 34, the documents or other

evidentiary matter not privileged or protected from disclosure on which such computation is based, including materials bearing on the nature and extent of injuries suffered.

DATED this 14th day of February, 2022.

BRANDON | SMERBER LAW FIRM

/s/ Lew Brandon, Jr., Esq.

LEW BRANDON, JR., ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 5880

ANDREW GUZIK, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 12758

HOMERO GONZALEZ, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 15231

139 East Warm Springs Road

Las Vegas, Nevada 89119

Attorneys for Defendant,

99 CENTS ONLY STORES, LLC

d/b/a 99 CENTS ONLY

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to Nev. R. Civ. P. 5(b), I certify that on February 14, 2022, I served a true and correct copy of the foregoing NRCP 16.1(a)(1)(C) REQUEST FOR COMPUTATION OF DAMAGES AND DISCLOSURE OF SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS AND NRCP

16.1(a)(1)(A)(iii) REQUEST FOR MEDICAL PROVIDER IDENTITY through the Court's

ECF electronic filing system, upon the following:

LAWRENCE RUIZ, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 11451

, || RUIZ LAW FIRM

1055 Whitney Ranch Drive, Suite 110

13 Henderson, NV 89014

| (702) 850-1717

Facsimile: (702) 850-1716

lawrence@lmruizlaw.com

Attorney for Plaintiff,

6 || SYRIAH REGAINS

/s/ Bonita Alexander

An Employee of BRANDON | SMERBER LAW FIRM

Case 2:22-cv-00334-JCM-VCF Document 1-1 Filed 02/23/22 Page 24 of 27

702.850.1717 / 702.850.1716 (fax)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

from the program.

A summary of the facts which support Plaintiff's request for exemption are as follows:

On or about June 6, 2020, in Clark County, Nevada, Ms. Regains was an invited guest on the Defendant's premises, 99 Cents Store, located at 1325 E. Flamingo Road, in Clark County, Las Vegas, Nevada. At said time and place, an employee of the Defendant, while working within the course and scope of their employment with the Defendant, negligently placed a metal cart by the Plaintiff without her knowledge. The Plaintiff tripped and fell over the metal cart, causing her to strike her head on the handle of the cart. Ms. Regains sustained injuries as a result of the slip and fall to include: head injury, concussion, migraines, low back pain and neck pain. Currently, Ms Regains is still treating.

The following is a list of Ms. Regain's post-injury medical specials incurred to date of which Plaintiff's counsel is aware:

1,	Medic West Ambulance	\$ 1,117.36	
1. 2. 3.	Desert Springs Hospital	\$55,237.00	
- 66	Shadow Emergency Physicians	\$ 1,888.00	
4.	Desert Radiology	\$ 3,127.00	
5.	Neurology Clinics of Nevada	\$ 2,130.00	
6.	Tru Physical Therapy	\$ 200.00	
ME	MEDICAL SPECIALS TO DATE \$63,699.36		

Additionally, the Plaintiff's general damages are in an amount well in excess of \$15.000 and will be determined at trial.

As evidenced by the serious and permanent injuries diagnosed by Ms. Regain's healthcare providers, together with the significant medical expenses incurred by Ms. Regain, her case clearly has a probable jury award value well in excess of \$50,000. Accordingly, and pursuant to NAR 3, this matter is appropriately exempted from the Court Annexed Arbitration Program. Further, this request for exemption has been timely filed pursuant to the requirements set forth in NAR 5.

Based upon the foregoing, I hereby certify pursuant to N.R.C.P. 11 this case to be within

Caşe 2:22-cv-00334-JCM-VCF Document 1-1 Filed 02/23/22 Page 26 of 27

the exemption marked above, and I am aware of the sanctions which may be imposed against any attorney or party who without good cause or justification attempts to remove a case from the arbitration program.

DATED this A day of February, 2022.

RUIZ LAW FIRM

ICKOLAS GIORGIONE, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 14370

1055 Whitney Ranch Drive, Suite 110

Henderson, NV 89014 Attorney for Plaintiff

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE Pursuant to NEFCR 9, NRCP 5(b) and EDCR 7.26, I certify that I am an employee of the RUIZ LAW FIRM, and that on this Aday of February, 2022, I served a copy of the foregoing PETITION FOR EXEMPTION FROM ARBITRATION through the Eighth Judicial District Courts Electronic Filing system, Odyssey E-filing NV System to the following parties: Lew Brandon, Jr., Esq. Brandon | Smerber Law Firm 139 East Warm Springs Las Vegas, NV 89119 Attorney for Defendant 1055 Whitney Ranch Drive, Suite 110 Henderson, NV 89014 702.850.1717 / 702.850.1716 (fax) /s/ Tammy Wagner An employee of the RUIZ LAW FIRM